

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,725	BAASCH ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Roger L. Pang	3655	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Roger L. Pang.

(3) ____.

(2) Michael Bujold.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 October 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-42

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

an examiner's amendment was agreed upon that would correct possible 35 USC 112, second paragraph problems.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Roger L Pang/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3655

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)